



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,336	10/16/2003	John T. Kilcoyne	P-71486-US2	7853
49443 7590 08/31/2010 Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP 1500 Broadway 12th Floor New York, NY 10036				
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, HUONG Q				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3736				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/31/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/687,336

Applicant(s)

KILCOYNE ET AL.

Examiner

HELEN NGUYEN

Art Unit

3736

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 50-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 50-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SI/200)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(c), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/10/2010 has been entered.
2. Claims 50-55 are amended and Claims 59-60 are new. **Claims 50-60** remain pending and under prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. **Claims 50-51 and 58-60** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Schulman et al (US Pat No. 6088608).
5. In regards to **Claim 50**, Schulman et al disclose a system for measuring physiological parameters in the body of a patient, such as gastroesophageal reflux, the system comprising:

a monitoring device 10, said monitoring device comprising a housing adapted to be implanted in the body of a patient by attachment to tissue inside the body and a plurality of sensors 10a-c etc. included in said housing, best seen in Figure 5 (Col.3: 32-46), wherein each of the plurality of sensors is capable of independently measuring a different respective physiological parameter indicative of gastroesophageal reflux, i.e. pH (Col.4: 64; Col.5: 1-4) and wherein said monitoring device periodically transmits a signal indicative of the value of the respective physiological parameter measured by each of the plurality of sensors (Col.5: 16-23);

a receiver 16 that receives the signals from the monitoring device, said signals representing measurements made by the respective plurality of sensors, monitors the physiological parameters indicative of gastroesophageal reflux based on the received signals, and is capable of determining at least the presence of gastroesophageal reflux based on said plurality of signals, for example, by analysis of the pH values by the physician.

6. In regards to **Claim 51**, Schulman et al disclose at least one of said plurality of sensors includes a pH monitor (Col.4: 64).
7. In regards to **Claim 58**, Schulman et al disclose the receiver 16 is capable of monitoring a change in pH as a function of distance/location.
8. In regards to **Claim 59**, Schulman et al disclose said plurality of sensors 10 include a pH monitor and an auxiliary sensor, wherein said auxiliary sensor is capable of

Art Unit: 3736

measuring an auxiliary physiological parameter that is not a pH parameter, wherein the receiver is configured to receive a pH reading from said pH sensor and to adjust said pH reading based on the measured value of the physiological parameter (Col.3: 32-46).

9. In regards to **Claim 60**, Schulman et al disclose the auxiliary physiological parameter is selected from the group consisting of: an ion concentration, a temperature, and a pressure (Col.4: 59-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. **Claims 52-55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulman et al in view of Brune (US Pat No. 5984875).

12. In regards to **Claim 52**, Schulman et al disclose the plurality of sensors includes a pH monitor (Col.4: 64) but do not explicitly disclose said sensors including an RF transmitter and a microprocessor. Brune teaches the use of an RF transmitter 9,10 to transmit the signals from an analogous implanted sensor 2 (Col.6: 40-42). Brune also teaches analogous implanted sensor 2 includes a microprocessor 7 that periodically receives a signal from the sensor and induces the RF transmitter to periodically send an RF signal indicative of the sensor (Col.6: 22-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3736

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Schulman et al so that the plurality of sensors include an RF monitor as an effective means to transmit the signal information wirelessly. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the plurality of sensors of Schulman et al as modified by Brune to include a microprocessor that periodically receives a signal from the pH monitor and induces the RF transmitter to periodically send an RF signal indicative of the pH measured by the pH monitor as an effective means to periodically transmit the pH information signal.

13. In regard to **Claims 53-54**, Schulman et al in combination with Brune disclose the plurality of sensors each with a microprocessor above but do not explicitly disclose the microprocessor enables the pH monitor during a first interval and then disables the pH monitor during a second interval, while the RF transmitter is enabled during the second interval and disabled during periods of each cycle other than the second interval.

However, Brune does disclose a first interval which is defined as when the microprocessor 7 periodically enables the sensor to obtain a signal and a second interval which is defined as when the RF transmitter 9,10 is enabled to transmit the signal (Col.6: 35-42). Brune also teaches that battery life is conserved by disabling the respective functions i.e. keeping the sensor in sleeping mode until it is necessary to trigger the signals (Col.6: 32-35).

14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Schulman et al as modified by Brune such that during the first interval the RF transmitter is disabled and during the

Art Unit: 3736

second interval the pH monitor is disabled, wherein the disabling occurs when the respective function is not performed, i.e. the pH monitor of the respective sensor is disabled during periods of each cycle other than the first interval and the RF transmitter is disabled during periods of each cycle other than the second interval, as an effective way to enhance the battery life conservation by only enabling the proper function as it is being used and disabling it during all other times.

15. In regards to **Claim 55**, Schulman et al disclose the invention above but do not disclose each signal transmitted by the plurality of sensors includes an identifier that is indicative of the sensor from which the signal is sent. Brune et al disclose an analogous measuring system comprising sensors 2 that transmit a signal including an identifier code that is indicative of the sensor from which the signal is sent (Col.5: 49-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include with the signals sent from the implanted sensors of Schulman et al an identifier code as taught by Brune to effectively differentiate the particular sensor from which each signal was sent.

16. **Claims 56-57** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulman et al in view of Brune, further in view of Kumar et al (US Pat No. 6416471).

17. Schulman et al in combination with Brune disclose the receiver above but do not disclose the receiver worn by the patient or includes circuitry to sense the position of the patient. Kumar et al disclose an analogous receiver 20 worn by the patient best seen in Figure 1 as well as circuitry to sense a position of the patient (Col.11: 35-41). Therefore,

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the receiver of Schulman et al as modified by Brune to be worn by the patient and also include circuitry to sense a position of the patient as taught by Kumar et al for ease of transportation and to improve the invention by also providing valuable information pertaining to the position of the patient respectively, wherein it is then also obvious to one within the art for the receiver to also periodically record the position of the patient for the purpose of record.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to the above claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HELEN NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-8340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736